

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,645	•	02/06/2004	David Wilson Shaw	SMTT 330 7618	
23581	7590	03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
KOLISCH	HARTW	ELL, P.C.	PICO, ERIC E		
200 PACIFIC 520 SW YA		: -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	RTLAND, OR 97204			3654	
				DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/773,645	SHAW, DAVID WILSON				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eric Pico	3654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN A CONTROL OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u> . This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority t	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0s r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the container" in Claim 4, Line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Habicht U.S. Patent No. 5489182.
- 6. **Regarding claim 1**, Habicht discloses a storage apparatus comprising a storage portion, referred to as container 48, a support structure 12 to support the storage portion 48, a carriage 108 connected to the storage portion 48 and movable with respect to the support structure 12 to move the storage portion 48 between a loading position and a

Art Unit: 3654

storage position, and an actuator mechanism 32 to control movement of the carriage 108.

- 7. **Regarding claim 2**, Habicht further discloses the support structure 12 includes at least one surface to guide movement of the carriage 108 between the loading and storage positions of the storage portion 48.
- 8. **Regarding claim 3**, Habicht further discloses the support structure 12 comprises a post 100 and a surface to guide movement of the carriage 108 comprises a side 116 of the post 100.
- 9. **Regarding claim 4**, Habicht further discloses the carriage 108 comprises a pair of spaced members 26 positionable on opposite sides of the post 100 to define a platform for supporting a container 48 and rollers 110 rotatably mounted between the spaced members 26 to engage the side of the post 100 to permit slidable movement of the carriage 108 along the post 100.
- 10. **Regarding claim 5**, Habicht further discloses the post 100 is substantially vertical and the carriage 108 includes two rollers 110 on opposite sides of the post 100 to engage sides of the post 100.
- 11. **Regarding claim 9**, Habicht further discloses rails 26 below the storage portion to receive and support articles to be stored.
- 12. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vermette et al. U.S. Patent No. 4421209.
- 13. **Regarding claim 1**, Vermette et al. discloses a storage apparatus comprising a storage portion, created by fork lift table 58, a support structure, referred to as upright

Art Unit: 3654

post 12, to support the storage portion, a carriage, not numbered but shown in Figures 1-5, connected to the storage portion and movable with respect to the support structure 12 to move the storage portion between a loading position and a storage position, and an actuator mechanism, referred to as winch device 46, to control movement of the carriage.

- 14. **Regarding claim 2**, Vermette et al. further discloses the support structure includes surfaces to guide movement of the carriage between the loading and storage positions of the storage portion.
- 15. **Regarding claim 3**, Vermette et al. further discloses the support structure comprises a post 12 and the surface to guide movement of the carriage comprises a side of the post 12.
- 16. **Regarding claim 4**, Vermette et al. further discloses the carriage comprises a pair of spaced members 54, 56 positionable on opposite sides of the post 12 to define a platform for supporting, rollers 74, 76 rotatably mounted between the spaced members 54, 56 to engage the side of the post 12 to permit slidable movement of the carriage along the post 12.
- 17. **Regarding claim 5**, Vermette et al. further discloses the post 12 is substantially vertical and the carriage includes two rollers 74, 76 on opposite sides of the post 12 to engage sides of the post 12.
- 18. **Regarding claim 6**, Vermette et al. further discloses rollers 74, 76 are vertically offset from each other.

Art Unit: 3654

19. **Regarding claim 7**, Vermette et al. further discloses the offset rollers 74, 76 are supported by a pair of plates 54, 56 on opposing sides of the post 12, the pair of plates 54, 56 supporting a beam, referred to as fork lift table 58, extending from the plates 54, 56 in a cantilever configuration to define the platform 58 to support the storage portion whereby the storage portion exerts a force on the plates 54, 56 that tends to bias the offset rollers 74, 76 into engagement with the sides of the post 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claim(s) 8 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Habicht U.S. Patent No. 5489182 in view of Chandaria U.S. Patent No. D473377.
- 22. **Regarding claim 8,** Habicht is silent concerning the storage portion comprises a box structure.
- 23. Chandaria teaches a storage portion comprised of a box structure having a base, an upstanding rear wall, a pair of upstanding, opposed end walls, and an upstanding front wall to define an interior region for holding article to be stored, the front wall of the container having a section that is lower than the end walls to define an opening for access to the interior region.

Art Unit: 3654

24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the container disclosed by Habicht a box structure container taught by Chandaria to facilitate the accessibility of the container interior.

- 25. Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Habicht U.S. Patent No. 5489182 in view of Hansl U.S. Patent No. 6923612.
- 26. Regarding claim 10, Habicht discloses storage apparatus comprised of rails 26.
- 27. Habicht is silent concerning extendable rails.
- 28. HansI teaches rails 15 extendable from a stowed position below a container 4 to an extended position to receive support articles 4 to be stored.
- 29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add extendable rails as taught by Hansl to the storage apparatus disclosed by Habicht to increase the distance covered by the rails.
- 30. **Regarding claim 11**, Habicht is silent concerning rails configured to telescope between a stowed and extended positions.
- 31. Hansl teaches rails 15 configured to telescope between a stowed and extended positions.
- 32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to configure the rails disclosed by Habicht to telescope between a stowed and extended position as taught by Hansl to increase the distance covered by the rails.
- 33. Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vermette et al. U.S. Patent No. 4421209 in view of Wilke et al. U.S. Patent No. 5368130.

Art Unit: 3654

34. **Regarding claim 12**, Vermette et al. discloses the actuator mechanism 46 comprises a hoist mounted to the support structure 12 and connected to the carriage to move the carriage with respect to the support structure 12.

- 35. Vermette et al. is silent concerning the actuator mechanism comprising a chain hoist.
- 36. Wilke et al. teaches an actuator mechanism comprising a chain hoist.
- 37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the actuator mechanism disclosed by Vermette et al. with a chain hoist disclosed by Wilke et al. to facilitate the strength of the hoist.

Response to Arguments

- 38. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 39. Regarding applicant's argument that Habicht U.S. Patent No. 5489182 does not include a storage portion. Applicant does not disclose any evidence why container 48 cannot be considered a storage portion. Applicant has disclosed the container provides for the discharging of fluent material from the container but does not discuss why a container that provides for the discharging of fluent material from the container cannot be adapted to provide storage. Furthermore, the term "storage portion" imparts no particular structure, therefore Habicht U.S. Patent No. 5489182 recites the claimed elements of claim 1.

Art Unit: 3654

40. Regarding applicant's argument Habicht does not include "two rollers on opposite sides of the post" Habicht clearly shows in both Figures 8 and 9 the carriage including two rollers 110 in Figure 8 and 114 in Figure 9 on opposite sides, being the left and right side, of the post 150, 160 to engage sides of the post. Applicant fails to disclose any why Habicht does not include "two rollers on opposite sides of the post."

Page 8

- 41. Regarding applicant's argument that the rollers of Simmons, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, do not include rollers on opposite sides of the post, but rather a roller on one side of the post and an opposing roller within the internal structure of the post. Simmons clearly shows in Figure 2 and 3 rollers on opposite sides of a post, the post being the wall of column 12.
- 42. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 43. In response to applicant's argument that Chandaria U.S. Patent No. D473377 is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Chandaria U.S. Patent No. D473377 and Habicht U.S. Patent No. 5489182 are both containers. Applicant discloses no evidence why the container disclosed by Habicht cannot be a storage container.
- 44. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies

Art Unit: 3654

(i.e., the arms of claim 10 are extended from underneath the container) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

45. In response to applicant's argument that Hansl does not disclose "the rails are extendible from a stowed position below the storage portion to an extended position to receive support articles to be stored", a recitation that the rails are extendable does not result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of extending from a stowed position below the storage position to an extended position to receive support articles to be stored, then it meets the claim.

Conclusion

- 46. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Williams U.S. Patent No. 2576660, Vermette U.S. Patent No. 3957137, Pokus U.S. Patent No. 5152374, Kambara U.S. Patent No. 5160238, Rockwood U.S. Patent No. 5245940, Ehrlich U.S. Patent No. 5318335.
- 47. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3654

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Pico whose telephone number is 571-272-5589.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM - 3:00PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EEP

CKATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600